Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/041,937	NEE, WRIGHT JACKEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phung T. Nguyen	2632

All Participants:

(1) _Phung T. Nguyen_.

(2) _Gero G. McClellan   No. 44,227_.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _12 August 2005_

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
_1,13,40,45,46,47,49_

Prior art documents discussed:
Nappholz et al. (US 5,720,770)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant authorized the attached Examiner amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See the attached Examiner amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)